

LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION !	NO. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/749,745		12/28/2000	Paul A. Rupsis	PM 273238 P10246	5550		
8791	7590	12/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKE	LY SOKOI	LOFF TAYLOR	ESCALANT	ESCALANTE, OVIDIO			
12400 W	ILSHIRE BO	DULEVARD					
SEVENT	TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			745	RUPSIS, PAUL	RUPSIS, PAUL A.				
			er .	Art Unit					
		Ovidio E	scalante	2645					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI - Extra after - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communit 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, or reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and v , by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status	•								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 04 November:	2005						
2a)□	` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is							
3)	•			s, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
-,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims	•	•	•					
4) 🛛	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12,14,15,23-27 and 29-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•/-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	· · · ——								
7)	•								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election	requirement.						
Applica	tion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		) objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requi	ired if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	lote the attached O	ffice Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for □ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International	·	• • • •						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cer	tified copies not red	ceived.					
Attachmei	, ,		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	048)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Iail Date					
	ce of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) 🔲 Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/749,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 4, 2005. Claims
 8-12,14-15,23-27,29-31 are now pending in the present application.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 6, 2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 8,11,14,15,23,26,29 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Proposal for an MGCP Advanced Audio Package" RFC 2897 (XP-002212513) by Cromwell, hereinafter Cromwell, in view of Iyengar et al. US Patent 6,049,765

Regarding claims 8 and 23, Cromwell teaches a record audio module configured to be included in an audio resource function, (Cromwell discloses an "event/signal package" (record audio module) that is included in a media gateway control protocol for supporting IVR operations (audio resource function)), (abstract, page 6), comprising:

an audio record process operable to request a decomposed media gateway to record an audio stream in response to a received signal, (page 6, "Play Record"),

wherein media recording is altered based on at least one signal traveling between the record audio module and the decomposed media gateway (The audio record is also altered based on the desired message to be played out (e.g., page 24). Because the event/signal package (play audio module) controls the media gateway, then at least one control "signal" travels between the module and the gateway) and a resultant media recording is analyzed a to a reason it terminated, (page 6 Cromwell teaches detecting an "operationcomplete" signal upon successful completion the "playrecord" command);

Page 3

an audio stream container offset parameter to specify a location in an audio stream container that was being recorded when the recording was terminated, (Cromwell discloses a Return Parameter in the form of an "Amount Played" value, which specifies a location (length played of an initial prompt) that was played when the audio stream prompt playback was terminated (interrupted). Thus, the Amount Played parameter reads on the audio stream container offset parameter), (pages 12 and 14).

Cromwell does not specifically teach a pause compression processor to detect and eliminate periods of speech inactivity from a recording.

In the same field of endeavor, Iyengar teaches of a recording process that detects and eliminates periods of speech inactivity (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add detection and elimination of periods of speech inactivity as taught by the recording process of Iyengar to the recording process disclosed by Cromwell so that data storage can be increased by removing unnecessary silence segments, (Iyengar, col. 1, line 41-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,745

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 11,12,26 and 27, Cromwell teaches a format specifying process operable to specify the digital encoding format of a recording, (see page 3, where a user is able provision the media gateway to support a coder process that specifies coder values relating to audio file format).

Regarding claims 14,15,29 and 30, Cromwell teaches a record prompt tone generation process operable to generate a prompt tone that is either fixed or configurable and a record analysis process operable to determine the length of audio recorded and to identify a record termination condition that caused a recording to stop, (page 6)

5. Claims 9,10,24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Proposal for an MGCP Advanced Audio Package" RFC 2897 (XP-002212513) by Cromwell hereinafter Cromwell in view of Iyengar and further in view of Kamisnksy U.S. Patent No. 6,295,342.

Regarding claims 9,10,24 and 25, while Cromwell discloses a recording process that waits for seven seconds after speech stops to make sure the user is finished (page 24), Cromwell fails to specifically disclose that recording process is paused and resumed, where the recording process is operable to append the recording to an existing recording.

In the same field of endeavor, Kaminsky teaches of a telephonic IVR system (abstract) that pauses and resumes the recording process in order to append the recording to an existing recording (e.g., col. 2, lines 33-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a pause and resume in order to append the recording to an existing recording as taught by the IVR system of Kaminsky to the IVR system disclosed by Cromwell so

Art Unit: 2645

that there can be increased efficiency and accuracy of collecting information from by avoiding the difficulty to "correlate all of the responses of a single user" which causes the "transcriber assigned to coordinate the responses of each user session" to be faced with a "considerable challenge" and "considerable work" (Kaminsky, col. 2, lines 9-25).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed September 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, the Examiner relied upon Iyengar for the teaching of eliminating periods of speech inactivity/silence from a recording.

The motivation provided by the Examiner states that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add detection and elimination of periods of speech inactivity so that data storage of the audio recording can be increased by removing the silence segments (lyengar, col. 1, lines 41-67).

The Applicant states that there is no hint or suggestion in either Cromwell or Iyengar to combine, however, since both Cromwell and Iyengar pertain to audio recordings and since

Art Unit: 2645

Iyengar teaches that removing speech inactivity would be beneficial so that data storage can be

In response to applicant's argument that Iyengar is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, claim 8, as amended, claims a pause compression process operable to detect and to eliminate periods of speech inactivity from a recording. The Examiner relied upon Iyengar for the teaching of eliminating periods of speech inactivity/silence from a recording. Since both Cromwell and Iyengar pertain to audio recording then Iyengar is in the same field of endeavor of audio recordings.

#### Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7537, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Application/Control Number: 09/749,745

Art Unit: 2645

reached on alternate Fridays.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is 571-272-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30AM to 4:00PM. The examiner can also be

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ovidio Escalante

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 7

Wide Escalante December 2, 2005

O.E./oe